

1 KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP
2 JOHN W. KEKER - #49092
3 JON B. STREETER - #101970
4 DARALYN J. DURIE - #169825
5 MICHAEL S. KWUN - #198945
6 710 Sansome Street
7 San Francisco, CA 94111-1704
8 Telephone: (415) 391-5400
9 Facsimile: (415) 397-7188

10 Attorneys for Defendant
11 GOOGLE INC.

12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
13 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
14 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

15 OVERTURE SERVICES, INC., a Delaware
16 corporation,

17 Plaintiff,

18 v.

19 GOOGLE INC., a California corporation,

20 Defendant.

Case No. C 02-01991 CRB ADR

ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT
GOOGLE INC.'S MOTION FOR AN ORDER
EXTENDING GOOGLE'S TIME TO SERVE
INITIAL INVALIDITY CONTENTIONS

21 Before the Court is defendant Google Inc.'s ("Google's") motion, pursuant to Civil L.R. 6-1
22 and 6-3, and paragraph 4 of the Court's Standing Order, for an order extending its time to serve its
23 Preliminary Invalidity Contentions. Having considered all of the papers filed in support of and
24 opposition to the motion, and good cause appearing, the Court GRANTS Google's motion.

25 Plaintiff Overture Services, Inc. ("Overture") is ORDERED to serve forthwith a revised version
26 of its Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Preliminary Infringement Contentions (hereinafter, "Preliminary
27 Infringement Contentions") that fully complies with Patent L.R. 3-1. Overture's claim chart in its
28 revised Preliminary Infringement Contentions must specifically point out what aspects of the accused
instrumentality satisfy each element of each asserted claim of the patent-in-suit. Conclusory assertions

1 that a given element is present in the accused instrumentality are not sufficient.

2 The Court FURTHER ORDERS that Google's deadline for serving its Preliminary Invalidity
3 Contentions is extended to forty-five days after the date on which Overture serves revised Preliminary
4 Infringement Contentions that fully comply with Patent L.R. 3-1.

5 The parties are ORDERED to meet and confer about the case management schedule, and to file
6 with the Court within ten court days of the date of this Order a joint case management statement
7 addressing any scheduling modifications that may be necessary in light of this Order.

8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

9
10 Dated: October 8, 2002

11 /s/
HON. CHARLES R. BREYER
12 United States District Judge
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28